INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764 http://www.state.in.us/iurc/ Office: (317) 232-2701 Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT	)
OF THE INDIANA PAYPHONE	)
ASSOCIATION FOR A COMMISSION DETERMINATION OF JUST AND	)
	)
REASONABLE RATES AND CHARGES	)
AND COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL	)
REGULATIONS.	)

**CAUSE NO. 42303** 

**FILED** 

JAN 0 5 2005

**BY THE COMMISSION:** 

David W. Hadley, Commissioner Lorraine Hitz-Bradley, Administrative Law Judge INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

On October 10, 2002, the Complainant Indiana Payphone Association ("IPA" or "Complainant") filed the above-captioned Complaint with the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("IURC" or "Commission") against Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated ("SBC" or "SBC Indiana"), Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems (together "Verizon") and United Telephone Company of Indiana, Inc. d/b/a Sprint ("Sprint") (collectively "Respondents").

On September 29, 2004, the Commission issued an order in this Cause ("September 29<sup>th</sup> Order"). On October 19, 2004, SBC Indiana filed its *Verified Petition for Rehearing and Reconsideration* and Verizon filed its *Petition for Reconsideration* with the Commission. On October 28, 2004 and October 29, 2004, SBC and Verizon filed their appeal of the September 29<sup>th</sup> Order with the Indiana Court of Appeals.

The Presiding Officers, having reviewed the petitions filed, and being duly advised in the premises, hereby find as follows:

The petitions for rehearing and reconsideration filed by SBC and Verizon on October 19, 2004 were deemed denied, pursuant to Commission rule 170 I.A.C. 1-1.1-22(e)(5), on December 19, 2004. This docket entry confirms that the petitions have been denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 1/5/05

David W. Hadley, Commissioners

Lorraine Hitz-Bradley, Administrative Law Judge